JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)		642-MMB Doc CIVIL CO				Page 1 of	(1)- 0	54	2
The JS 44 civil cover sheet and provided by local rules of court, purpose of initiating the civil do	This form, approved by t cket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	the Judicial Conference of CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF	supplen the Unit	ted States in September	e of pleading 1974, is requ	gs or other papers ired for the use of	the Clerk of Co	aw, exce	ept as ne
L (a) PLAINTIFFS Frank Kenneth Richardson Jr.				DEFENDANTS University of Pennsylvania, Robert Clifford and John Does					
(b) County of Residence of (EXI (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, At Schafkopf Law LLC 11 Ba Weisberg Law, 7 S. Morto	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C ddress, and Telephone Numbe ala Ave, Bala Cynwyc	,,,, IPA 19004 610-664-	5200	County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	(IN U.S. P	CLAINTIFF CASES OF)
II. BASIS OF JURISDIO	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
U.S. Government (U.S. Government Not a Party)			Citizen of Another State Citizen of Another State Citizen of Business In Another State Ci						
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensn	ip of Parties in Item III)			3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation	Another State	6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	aly)	For	eign Country	Click	here for: Nature of	of Suit Code De	scription	S.
CONTRACT	TC	ORTS		REFERENCE	1	KRUPICY			ES
□ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreelosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 750 Motor Vehicle 760 Other Personal Nury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVII RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	710 7720 7791 7791 7791	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1 MININGRAFION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copy 830 Paten New 840 Trade 861 HIA 862 Black 863 DIW 864 SSID 865 RSI (RTYRIGHTS rrights at at - Abbreviated Drug Application emark SECURITY (1395ff) a Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Tide XVI 405(g)) LTAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	480 Consum 490 Cable/Ss 850 Securitie Exchang 890 Other St 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrati 899 Adminis	apportionnation of the second	ment g ced and dons dities/ ctions ters nation
▼1 Original □ 2 Remo	oved from 3 Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta Title VIII Civil Rig Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tute under which you are f hts; Section 1983 use:	Reope	(specify) o not cite jurisdictional state	r District	6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	-	Multidist Litigation Direct Fil	n -
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		gfully terminated due IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		CMAND \$		HECK YES only I	if demanded in	complain	ıt:
VIII. RELATED CASE(IF ANY		JUDGE				T NUMBER			
DATE 12/11/2017		SIGNATURE OF AUTOI	RNEY OF	FRECORD My					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMO	DUNT	APPLYING IFP	/	JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 8421 Bayard St Philadelphia PA 19150	
Address of Defendant: 2929 Walnut Street Suite 400 Philadelphia PA 19	9104
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: University of Pennsylvania (Use Reverse Side For	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a	Yes□ No
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No 欠
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	X
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ Note Yes□
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier terminated action in this court?	numbered case pending or within one year previously Yes□ No No No
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	nts case filed by the same individual? Yes \(\sum \) No \(\sum \)
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6 Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. 🗶 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate C) , counsel of record do hereby certi	'ategory) fy:
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
DATE:	
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 12-11-17 John Stuffen	7 DEC 11 2017 83362

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



CIVIL ACTION

Frank Kenneth Richardson Jr

University of	Pennsylvania et al : :	NO.	17 55
In accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a Casfiling the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the edesignation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other part to which that defendant belief	se Management Track Design a copy on all defendants. (So went that a defendant does that, with its first appearance ties, a Case Management Track Design as the control of	nation Form in all civil ca ee § 1:03 of the plan set for not agree with the plainting e, submit to the clerk of co ack Designation Form spe	ses at the time of rth on the reverse ff regarding said ourt and serve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	orought under 28 U.S.C. § 22	241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases r and Human Services den	equesting review of a decision of a decision of a decision of the plaintiff Social Security	on of the Secretary of Heav Benefits.	lth ()
(c) Arbitration - Cases requi	red to be designated for arbi	tration under Local Civil	Rule 53.2. ()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injur	y or property damage fron	1 ()
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si management cases.)	ases that do not fall into trac complex and that need spec- ide of this form for a detailed	al or intense management	
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not fall into ar	y one of the other tracks.	(×)
12-11-17	Gary Schafkopf, Esq	Plaintiff	
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
610-664-5200	888-283-1334	gary@schaflaw.	com
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Addr	ess

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 2:17-cv-05542-MMB Document 1 Filed 12/11/17 Page 4 of 15

WEISBERG LAW

Matthew B. Weisberg, Attorney ID No. 85570

7 South Morton Ave.

Morton, PA 610-690-0801

Fax: 610-690-0880 **Attorney for Plaintiff**

Schafkopf Law, LLC Gary Schafkopf, Attorney ID No. 83362 11 Bala Ave Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004 610-664-5200 Ext 104

Fax: 888-238-1334 **Attorney for Plaintiff**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

:

FRANK KENNETH RICHARDSON JR

8241 Bayard St

Philadelphia PA 19150

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL OF TWELVE (12)

No.

DEMANDED

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

2929 Walnut Street

Suite 400

Philadelphia, PA 19104

and

ROBERT CLIFFORD

2929 Walnut Street

Suite 400 19104

JOHN DOES 1-100

Defendants

FILED

DEC 11 2017

KATE BARKMAN, Clerk

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff, Frank Kenneth Richardson Jr., is an African-American adult individual residing at the above captioned address. Plaintiff is a citizen of Pennsylvania.
- 2. Defendant, University of Pennsylvania, is a for profit university, doing business at the above captioned address.
- 3. Defendant, Robert Clifford, is a Caucasian male and was Plaintiff's Senior Manager, doing business at the above captioned address.



4. Defendants, John Does 1-10, is a moniker/fictitious name for individuals and entities currently unknown but will be substituted when known, as affiliated, associated or liable hereunder for the reasons set forth below or inferred therefrom. Each of these parties are incorporated as Defendants in each and every count and averment listed above and below.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction and venue is appropriate in this judicial district as the facts complained of herein occurred in this judicial district, and this Complaint arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal laws.
- All conditions precedent- including the EEOC Right to Sue Letter- have been satisfied.
 EXHIBIT A

OPERATIVE FACTS

- Since February 2014 until his termination Plaintiff was a Manager with Defendant's University Laboratory for Animal Resources ("ULAR").
- ULAR provides veterinary care, animal husbandry and regulatory support for the academic schools with Defendant University of Pennsylvania that conduct biomedical research.
- 9. Plaintiff's responsibilities included managing daily operations of the animal facility from a husbandry and regulatory perspective, as well overseeing the activities of the animal care staff, including hiring new employees, disciplining existing employees and completing performance evaluations.
- On or about April 9, 2015 two employees began a verbal argument during a staff meeting.

- 11. Plaintiff, intervened and took both employees into his office and began employing conflict resolution methods to defuse the situation, addressing each employee individually.
- 12. Plaintiff did not believe the incident needed to be reported to Human Resources as he was able to defuse the situation.
- 13. Upon conclusion of Plaintiff's meeting with the two employees one of the employees did requested to speak to Human Resources.
- 14. At the time of the request Human Resource Generalist, Janese Brown-Hooker called Plaintiff regarding an unrelated matter.
- 15. Human Resource Generalist Janese Brown-Hooker, who is African-American, spoke with the employee over the phone in Plaintiff's office.
- 16. Plaintiff was not present during the conversation and Ms. Brown-Hooker did request to speak with Plaintiff afterwards.
- 17. Plaintiff was not told that any additional action was needed and believed the incident had been handled in an appropriate matter.
- 18. During an unrelated meeting with a Human Resource consultant Plaintiff discussed the incident regarding the two employees.
- 19. Following the meeting with the Human Resource consultant Plaintiff's Senior Manager, Defendant Rob Clifford who is Caucasian was advised of the incident between the two employees.
- 20. The following week Defendant Rob Clifford met with Plaintiff.
- 21. Despite having never been previously disciplined Plaintiff was given a First and Final Warning regarding his handling of the situation between the two employees.

- 22. Defendant Rob Clifford failed to investigate the matter prior to issuing the First and Final Waring.
- 23. Plaintiff believes and avers that Plaintiff's First and Final Warning resulted not due to his handling of the situation between the two employees but due to his race.
- 24. In March of 2015 Plaintiff hired a temporary employee, Abdulallah Hunter.
- 25. Over the course of several months Mr. Hunter called out of work, arrived late or would request to leave early.
- 26. In June 2015 Plaintiff and Supervisor Ladia Little, who is African-American, met with Mr. Hunter.
- 27. Plaintiff informed Mr. Hunter that his attendance issues were problematic and that any further issues regarding his attendance would lead to him being disciplined which included potential termination
- 28. In August 2015 Mr. Hunter was involved in a car accident.
- 29. Mr. Hunter returned to work a week later the following week Plaintiff was advised by Mr. Hunter that his doctor advised him to not to return to work.
- 30. Mr. Hunter did return to work but continued to experience attendance problems.
- 31. Plaintiff then determined to end Mr. Hunter's temporary employment.
- 32. Plaintiff sent an email to Ufuoma Pela, who is African-American, and Rob Clifford informing them of his decision.
- 33. Defendant Rob Clifford did not respond, and Mr. Pela responded "ok."
- 34. Neither provided Plaintiff with any additional instructions on how to handle Mr. Hunter's termination.

- 35. Or about August 25, 2015 Plaintiff with Supervisor Ladia Little met with and terminated Mr. Hunter's employment.
- 36. Plaintiff did not provide Mr. Hunter with a specific reason for his termination, which was not required as a temporary employee.
- 37. Following the meeting Mr. Hunter approached Plaintiff at the elevator bank.
- 38. Mr. Hunter requested to know the reason for his termination.
- 39. Plaintiff advised it was due to his attendance and immediately left.
- 40. The following day Mr. Hunter requested Plaintiff provide him a letter stating the reason for his termination was due to his attendance.
- 41. Plaintiff immediately informed Ufuoma Pela of the request and advised that he had informed Mr. Hunter that his termination was due to his attendance during the brief conversation at the elevator.
- 42. An investigation was then conducted by Defendant Rob Clifford regarding Mr. Hunter's firing and how the situation was handled.
- 43. Plaintiff and Ladia Little were interviewed advising of all the events that occurred during and after the meeting with Mr. Hunter.
- 44. On or about September 1, 2015, terminated.
- 45. Following his termination Plaintiff filed a grievance with Defendant University of Pennsylvania.
- 46. The grievance panel found in Plaintiff's favor finding Plaintiff's termination was erroneous. **EXHIBIT B**
- 47. Plaintiff believes and avers that his termination was the result of his race and not due to his handling of Mr. Hunter's termination.

- 48. Plaintiff believes and avers that other Caucasian managers who have been investigated for assisting employees to steal company time by failing to report when employees clock in and out of the office have not been disciplined by Defendants.
- 49. Per Defendant University of Pennsylvania's employment policy falsifying timesheets are grounds for immediate dismissal.
- 50. Plaintiff believes and avers Caucasian managers who have engaged in falsifying time sheets have not been terminated and Plaintiff was terminated as a result of his race.
- 51. As a result of the discrimination, Plaintiff suffered emotional distress. Plaintiff has remained in distress since discrimination against his ethnicity.

COUNT I 42.S.C. § 2000e et seq. – TITLE VII OF THE 1964 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 53. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff by terminating him due to his handling of a conflict amongst two employees and the termination of a temporary employee.
- 54. Similarly situated Caucasian Managers have not been disciplined for failing to report and correct a situation in which employees were stealing company time by failing to clock in and out of the office.
- 55. Plaintiff suffered harm due to Defendants' conduct.

COUNT II 43P.S. § 951, et seq. – PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS ACT

- 56. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 57. Defendants' discriminatory actions aforesaid also violate the PHRA.

58. Plaintiff suffered harm due to Defendants' conduct.

COUNT III – 1983 VIOLATION

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all prior paragraphs as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 60. Defendants' retaliatory conduct, as set forth herein, deprived Plaintiff of equal protection under the law as guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 61. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' acts and conduct which caused and continue to cause Plaintiff to be denied equal protection under the law, Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer those injuries, damages, and losses alleged herein and has incurred and will incur attorneys' fees and costs.
- 62. The wrongful acts and conduct of Defendants' were done with deliberate indifference to the statutory and constitutional rights of Plaintiff.

COUNT IV WRONGFUL TERMINATION

- 63. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth at length herein.
- 64. Plaintiff, Frank Richardson Jr was employed by Defendants.
- 65. Plaintiff was laid off for discriminatory and/or retaliatory reasons after firing a temporary employee.
- 66. Plaintiff filed a grievance with Defendant University of Pennsylvania.
- 67. The grievance panel ruled in Plaintiff's favor, finding that Plaintiff had erroneously been fired.
- 68. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff has sustained damages, as set forth above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court enter judgment in his favor and against Defendants, individually, jointly and/or severally, in an amount in excess of \$75,000, plus such other and further relief as this Honorable Court deems necessary and just, and to Order

the following relief:

a. Statutory damages;

b. Punitive damages;

c. Compensatory damages, including;

i. Actual damages for financial and physical injuries, and

emotional distress;

d. Attorneys' fees and expenses, costs of suit, and equitable relief;

Respectfully Submitted,

WEISBERG LAW

BY: <u>/s/ Matthew Weisberg</u>

MATTHEW B. WEISBERG, ESQ

DATED: 12 - 11 - 17

SCHAFKOPF LAW, LLC

BY: VIVY POVY

DATED: 12-11-11

EXHIBIT A

U.S. EQUAL _MPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION EEOC Form 161 (11/16) DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS From: Frank Richardson Philadelphia District Office 8241 Bayard St 801 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19150 Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 19107 On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a)) **EEOC Charge No. EEOC Representative** Telephone No. Legal Unit, 530-2016-00640 Legal Technician (215) 440-2828 THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON: The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC. Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act. The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes, Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge X The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge. The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge. Other (bnefly state) - NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -(See the additional information attached to this form.) Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.) Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible. On behalf of the Commission Enclosures(s) Kevin J. Berry,

Acting District Director

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA Jennifer B. Feldman, Esq. (For Respondent)

CC:

EXHIBIT B

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

STAFF GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE Panel Findings & Recommendations

Grievant Information:
Name Frank Richardson, Jr.
Department/School <u>ULAR</u> Title <u>Manager</u>
Preferred Mailing Address 8241 Bayard St., Philadelphia, PA 19150
Home Telephone 267-252-5514 University Extension
Panel Hearing:
Date of Hearing October 12, 2015
Issue(s) [use additional pages if necessary]:
Whether Frank Richardson's September 1, 2015 termination from his position as manager at ULAR was appropriate and in keeping with the University's policy and procedures.
Position of Grievant:
Termination of employment was based on erroneous information and undocumented assertions that he misrepresented the facts concerning the reasons given to terminate an agency employee.
Position of Respondent:
Grievant failed to provide accurate and honest information to University staff regarding the termination of an agency employee.

Finding of Fact:

The grievant may not have strictly followed University policies concerning the termination of an agency comployee, by giving two distinct reasons for the termination. However, his actions should not be deemed as misconduct that warrants dismissal and the grievant's testimony that he provided his supervisors with both reasons for the termination of the agency employee appeared credible and honest. He gave a formal and informal reason for the termination and the respondent did not offer any witness to contradict the grievant's version of the facts.